Exercise 5.8

Question 1:

Verify Rolle's Theorem for the function $f(x) = x^2 + 2x - 8$, $x \in [-4, 2]$ Answer

The given function, $f(x) = x^2 + 2x - 8$, being a polynomial function, is continuous in [-4, 2] and is differentiable in (-4, 2). $f(-4) = (-4)^2 + 2 \times (-4) - 8 = 16 - 8 - 8 = 0$

$$f(2) = (2)^{2} + 2 \times 2 - 8 = 4 + 4 - 8 = 0$$

$$\therefore f(-4) = f(2) = 0$$

⇒ The value of f(x) at -4 and 2 coincides.

Rolle's Theorem states that there is a point $c \in (-4, 2)$ such that f'(c) = 0

$$f(x) = x^{2} + 2x - 8$$

$$\Rightarrow f'(x) = 2x + 2$$

$$\therefore f'(c) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2c + 2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c = -1, \text{ where } c = -1 \in (-4, 2)$$

Hence, Rolle's Theorem is verified for the given function.

Question 2:

Examine if Rolle's Theorem is applicable to any of the following functions. Can you say some thing about the converse of Rolle's Theorem from these examples?

(i)
$$f(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor$$
 for $x \in \lfloor 5, 9 \rfloor$
(ii) $f(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor$ for $x \in \lfloor -2, 2 \rfloor$
(iii) $f(x) = x^2 - 1$ for $x \in \lfloor 1, 2 \rfloor$
Answer
By Rolle's Theorem, for a function $f : \lfloor a, b \rfloor \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, if
(a) f is continuous on $\lfloor a, b \rfloor$

(b) f is differentiable on (a, b)

(c)
$$f(a) = f(b)$$

then, there exists some $c \in (a, b)$ such that f'(c) = 0

Therefore, Rolle's Theorem is not applicable to those functions that do not satisfy any of the three conditions of the hypothesis.

(i) f(x) = [x] for $x \in [5, 9]$

It is evident that the given function f(x) is not continuous at every integral point. In particular, f(x) is not continuous at x = 5 and x = 9

 \Rightarrow *f*(*x*) is not continuous in [5, 9].

Also, f(5) = [5] = 5 and f(9) = [9] = 9:. $f(5) \neq f(9)$

The differentiability of f in (5, 9) is checked as follows.

Let *n* be an integer such that $n \in (5, 9)$.

The left hand limit of f at x = n is, $\lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{f(n+h) - f(n)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{[n+h] - [n]}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{n-1-n}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{-1}{h} = \infty$ The right hand limit of f at x = n is, $\lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{f(n+h) - f(n)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{[n+h] - [n]}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{n-n}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} 0 = 0$

Since the left and right hand limits of f at x = n are not equal, f is not differentiable at x = n

 $\therefore f$ is not differentiable in (5, 9).

It is observed that f does not satisfy all the conditions of the hypothesis of Rolle's Theorem.

Hence, Rolle's Theorem is not applicable for f(x) = [x] for $x \in [5, 9]$. (ii) f(x) = [x] for $x \in [-2, 2]$

It is evident that the given function f(x) is not continuous at every integral point. In particular, f(x) is not continuous at x = -2 and x = 2

 \Rightarrow *f*(*x*) is not continuous in [-2, 2].

Also,
$$f(-2) = [-2] = -2$$
 and $f(2) = [2] = 2$
 $\therefore f(-2) \neq f(2)$

The differentiability of f in (-2, 2) is checked as follows.

Let *n* be an integer such that $n \in (-2, 2)$.

The left hand limit of f at x = n is,

$$\lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{f(n+h) - f(n)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{[n+h] - [n]}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{n-1-n}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{-1}{h} = \infty$$

The right hand limit of f at $x = n$ is,
$$\lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(n+h) - f(n)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{[n+h] - [n]}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} \frac{n-n}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{+}} 0 = 0$$

Since the left and right hand limits of f at x = n are not equal, f is not differentiable at x = n

 $\therefore f$ is not differentiable in (-2, 2).

It is observed that f does not satisfy all the conditions of the hypothesis of Rolle's Theorem.

Hence, Rolle's Theorem is not applicable for f(x) = [x] for $x \in [-2, 2]$.

(iii)
$$f(x) = x^2 - 1$$
 for $x \in [1, 2]$

It is evident that f, being a polynomial function, is continuous in [1, 2] and is differentiable in (1, 2).

$$f(1) = (1)^2 - 1 = 0$$

 $f(2) = (2)^2 - 1 = 3$

 $\therefore f\left(1\right) \neq f\left(2\right)$

It is observed that *f* does not satisfy a condition of the hypothesis of Rolle's Theorem.

Hence, Rolle's Theorem is not applicable for $f(x) = x^2 - 1$ for $x \in [1, 2]$.

Question 3:

If $f:[-5,5] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a differentiable function and if f'(x) does not vanish anywhere, then prove that $f(-5) \neq f(5)$. Answer It is given that $f:[-5,5] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a differentiable function. Since every differentiable function is a continuous function, we obtain (a) f is continuous on [-5, 5].

(b) f is differentiable on (-5, 5).

Therefore, by the Mean Value Theorem, there exists $c \in (-5, 5)$ such that

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(5) - f(-5)}{5 - (-5)}$$

$$\Rightarrow 10f'(c) = f(5) - f(-5)$$

It is also given that f'(x) does not vanish anywhere.

$$\therefore f'(c) \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 10f'(c) \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f(5) - f(-5) \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f(5) \neq f(-5)$$

Hence, proved.

Question 4:

Verify Mean Value Theorem, if $f(x) = x^2 - 4x - 3$ in the interval $\begin{bmatrix} a, b \end{bmatrix}$, where a = 1 and b = 4.

Answer

The given function is $f(x) = x^2 - 4x - 3$

f, being a polynomial function, is continuous in [1, 4] and is differentiable in (1, 4) whose derivative is 2x - 4.

$$f(1) = 1^{2} - 4 \times 1 - 3 = -6, f(4) = 4^{2} - 4 \times 4 - 3 = -3$$

$$\therefore \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} = \frac{f(4) - f(1)}{4 - 1} = \frac{-3 - (-6)}{3} = \frac{3}{3} = 1$$

Mean Value Theorem states that there is a point $c \in (1, 4)$ such that f'(c) = 1

$$f'(c) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow 2c - 4 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow c = \frac{5}{2}, \text{ where } c = \frac{5}{2} \in (1, 4)$$

Hence, Mean Value Theorem is verified for the given function.

Question 5:

Verify Mean Value Theorem, if $f(x) = x^3 - 5x^2 - 3x$ in the interval [a, b], where a = 1 and b = 3. Find all $c \in (1,3)$ for which f'(c) = 0Answer

The given function f is $f(x) = x^3 - 5x^2 - 3x$

f, being a polynomial function, is continuous in [1, 3] and is differentiable in (1, 3) whose derivative is $3x^2 - 10x - 3$.

$$f(1) = 1^{3} - 5 \times 1^{2} - 3 \times 1 = -7, \quad f(3) = 3^{3} - 5 \times 3^{2} - 3 \times 3 = -27$$

$$\therefore \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} = \frac{f(3) - f(1)}{3 - 1} = \frac{-27 - (-7)}{3 - 1} = -10$$

Mean Value Theorem states that there exist a point $c \in (1, 3)$ such that f'(c) = -10

$$f'(c) = -10$$

$$\Rightarrow 3c^{2} - 10c - 3 = 10$$

$$\Rightarrow 3c^{2} - 10c + 7 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 3c^{2} - 3c - 7c + 7 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 3c(c-1) - 7(c-1) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (c-1)(3c-7) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c = 1, \frac{7}{3}, \text{ where } c = \frac{7}{3} \in (1, 3)$$

Hence, Mean Value Theorem is verified for the given function and $c = \frac{7}{3} \in (1, 3)$ is the only point for which f'(c) = 0

Question 6:

Examine the applicability of Mean Value Theorem for all three functions given in the above exercise 2.

Answer

Mean Value Theorem states that for a function ${}^{f}:[a, b] \rightarrow {f R}$, if

- (a) f is continuous on [a, b]
- (b) *f* is differentiable on (*a*, *b*)

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}$$

then, there exists some $c \in (a, b)$ such that

Therefore, Mean Value Theorem is not applicable to those functions that do not satisfy any of the two conditions of the hypothesis. (i) f(x) = [x] for $x \in [5, 9]$

It is evident that the given function f(x) is not continuous at every integral point. In particular, f(x) is not continuous at x = 5 and x = 9

 \Rightarrow *f*(*x*) is not continuous in [5, 9].

The differentiability of f in (5, 9) is checked as follows.

Let *n* be an integer such that $n \in (5, 9)$.

The left hand limit of f at x = n is,

$$\lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{f(n+h) - f(n)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{[n+h] - [n]}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{n-1-n}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^{-}} \frac{-1}{h} = \infty$$

The right hand limit of f at x = n is,

$$\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(n+h) - f(n)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{[n+h] - [n]}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{n-n}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} 0 = 0$$

Since the left and right hand limits of f at x = n are not equal, f is not differentiable at x = n

 $\therefore f$ is not differentiable in (5, 9).

It is observed that f does not satisfy all the conditions of the hypothesis of Mean Value Theorem.

Hence, Mean Value Theorem is not applicable for f(x) = [x] for $x \in [5, 9]$.

(ii)
$$f(x) = [x]$$
 for $x \in [-2, 2]$

It is evident that the given function f(x) is not continuous at every integral point.

In particular, f(x) is not continuous at x = -2 and x = 2

 \Rightarrow *f*(*x*) is not continuous in [-2, 2].

The differentiability of f in (-2, 2) is checked as follows.

Let *n* be an integer such that $n \in (-2, 2)$.

The left hand limit of f at x = n is,

$$\lim_{h \to 0^-} \frac{f(n+h) - f(n)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^-} \frac{[n+h] - [n]}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^-} \frac{n-1-n}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^-} \frac{-1}{h} = \infty$$

The right hand limit of f at $x = n$ is,

$$\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(n+h) - f(n)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{[n+h] - [n]}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{n-n}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} 0 = 0$$

Since the left and right hand limits of f at x = n are not equal, f is not differentiable at x = n

 $\therefore f$ is not differentiable in (-2, 2).

It is observed that f does not satisfy all the conditions of the hypothesis of Mean Value Theorem.

Hence, Mean Value Theorem is not applicable for f(x) = [x] for $x \in [-2, 2]$.

(iii)
$$f(x) = x^2 - 1 \text{ for } x \in [1, 2]$$

It is evident that f, being a polynomial function, is continuous in [1, 2] and is differentiable in (1, 2).

It is observed that *f* satisfies all the conditions of the hypothesis of Mean Value Theorem.

Hence, Mean Value Theorem is applicable for $f(x) = x^2 - 1$ for $x \in [1, 2]$. It can be proved as follows.

$$f(1) = 1^{2} - 1 = 0, \ f(2) = 2^{2} - 1 = 3$$

$$\therefore \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} = \frac{f(2) - f(1)}{2 - 1} = \frac{3 - 0}{1} = 3$$

$$f'(x) = 2x$$

$$\therefore f'(c) = 3$$

$$\Rightarrow 2c = 3$$

$$\Rightarrow c = \frac{3}{2} = 1.5, \text{ where } 1.5 \in [1, 2]$$